

On Natural Partial Orders of IC-Abundant Semigroups

Chunhua Li*, Baogen Xu

School of Science, East China Jiaotong University, Nanchang, Jiangxi, China

Abstract

In this paper, we will investigate the natural partial orders on IC-abundant semigroups. After giving some properties and characterizations of natural partial orders on abundant semigroups, we consider IC-abundant semigroups. We prove that an IC-abundant semigroup is locally ample if and only if the natural partial order on the semigroup is compatible with the multiplication.

Keywords

Abundant Semigroup, Natural Partial Order, IC-Abundant Semigroup, Locally Ample

Received: March 4, 2015 / Accepted: March 20, 2015 / Published online: March 23, 2015

© 2015 The Authors. Published by American Institute of Science. This Open Access article is under the CC BY-NC license.

<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

1. Introduction

The concepts of the natural partial orders on a regular semigroup were introduced by Nambooripad [3] in 1980. As a generalization of regular semigroups in the range of abundant semigroups, El-Qallali and Fountain [1] introduced abundant semigroups. After that, various classes of abundant semigroups are researched (see, [2, 6-14]). In 1987, Lawson defined natural partial orders on abundant semigroups, and extend Nambooripad's results. The relation R^* is defined on a semigroup S by the rule that aR^*b if and only if the elements a, b of S are related by Green's relation R in some oversemigroup of S . The relation L^* is defined dually. In this paper, we shall study the natural partial orders on IC-abundant semigroups by using the notion of majorization of the relations R^* and L^* . Some properties and constructions on IC-abundant semigroups will be described in terms of its natural partial order. We shall proceed as follows: section 2 provides some known results. In section 3, we give some characterizations of the natural partial orders on abundant semigroups. The last section we consider the natural partial

orders on IC-abundant semigroups.

2. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper we shall use the notions and notations of [2,4-5]. Here we provide some known results used repeatedly in the sequel. At first, we recall some basic facts about the relation L^* and R^* .

Lemma II.1 [1] Let S be a semigroup and $a, b \in S$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) aL^*b (aR^*b);
- (2) for all $x, y \in S^1$ $ax = ay$ ($xa = ya$) if and only if $bx = by$ ($xb = yb$).

As an easy but useful consequence of Lemma II.1, we have

Corollary II.1 [1] Let S be a semigroup and $a, e = e^2 \in S$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) aL^*e (aR^*e);
- (2) $a = ae$ ($a = ea$) and for all $x, y \in S^1$ $ax = ay$ ($xa = ya$)

* Corresponding author

E-mail address: chunhuali66@163.com (Chunhua Li), baogenxu@163.com (Baogen Xu)

implies $bx = by(xb = yb)$.

Evidently, L^* is a right congruence while R^* is a left congruence. In an arbitrary semigroup, we have $L \subseteq L^*$ and $R \subseteq R^*$. But for regular elements a, b , we get $aL^*b(aR^*b)$ if and only if $aLb(aRb)$. For convenience, we denote by a^+ [a^*] a typical idempotent R^* -related [L^* -related] to a . L_a^* (R_a^*) denotes the L^* class (R^* class) containing a . And $E(T)$ denotes the set of idempotents of T ; $\text{Reg}(T)$ denotes the set of regular elements of T . We denote by $V(a)$ the set of all inverses of a .

A semigroup S is called *abundant* if and only if each L^* class and each R^* class contains at least one idempotent. An abundant semigroup S is called *quasi-adequate* if its set of idempotents constitutes a subsemigroup (i.e., its set of idempotents is a band). Moreover, a quasi-adequate semigroup is called *adequate* if its bands of idempotents is a semilattice (i.e., the idempotents commute). An abundant semigroup S is called *ample*, if for all $e^2 = e, a \in S$, $ae = (ae)^+ a$ and $ea = a(ea)^*$. Following [1], an abundant semigroup S is called *idempotent-connected*, for short, *IC*, provided for each $a \in S$ and for some a^+, a^* , there exists a bijection $\theta : \langle a^+ \rangle \rightarrow \langle a^* \rangle$ such that $xa = a(x\theta)$ for all $x \in \langle a^+ \rangle$, where $\langle a^+ \rangle$ [resp. $\langle a^* \rangle$] is the subsemigroup of S generated by the set $\{y \in E(S) : y = ya^+ = a^+y\}$ [resp. $\{y \in E(S) : y = ya^* = a^*y\}$]. In fact, an ample semigroup is an IC-adequate semigroup and vice versa. A semigroup S is called a *locally P-semigroup* if for all $e^2 = e \in S$, eSe is a P-semigroup. An equivalence relation ρ on S is called ρ -*unipotent* if each ρ -class of S contains exactly one idempotent. Evidently, an adequate semigroup is both L^* - and R^* -unipotent. It is well known that L -unipotent [resp. R -unipotent] regular semigroup is an orthodox semigroup whose band of idempotents is a right [resp. left] regular band (a band B is left [resp. right] regular band if for $x, y \in B$, $xy = xyx$ [resp. $xy = yxy$] [see, 4]).

Definition II.1^[5] Let S be an abundant semigroup. We define three relations on S , as follows:

(1) $a \leq_r b \Leftrightarrow R_a^* \leq R_b^*$, and there exists an idempotent $f \in R_a^*$ such that $a = fb$;

(2) $a \leq_l b \Leftrightarrow L_a^* \leq L_b^*$, and there exists an idempotent $e \in L_a^*$ such that $a = be$;

(3) $\leq = \leq_r \cap \leq_l$, i.e. $a \leq b \Leftrightarrow$ there exist idempotents e, f such that $a = eb = bf$.

Lemma II.2^[5] Let S be an abundant semigroup and $a, b \in S$. Then $a \leq_r b$ if and only if there exists $e \in R_a^* \cap E(S), f \in R_b^* \cap E(S)$ such that $a = eb$ and $e \leq f$.

Lemma II.3^[5] Let S be an abundant semigroup. Then S is IC if and only if $\leq_r = \leq = \leq_l$.

Definition II.2^[4] Let ρ be an equivalence relation on semigroup S , T be a subset of S . T is called to satisfy ρ -majorization if for any $a, b, c \in T, a \geq b, a \geq c$ and $b\rho c$ implies that $b = c$.

3. Properties and Characterizations

The aim of this section is to introduce the natural partial on abundant semigroups, and to give some properties and characterizations of the natural partials on such semigroups.

Proposition III.1 Let S be an abundant semigroup and $a, b \in S$. Then $a \leq b$ if and only if there exist $x, y \in S^1$ such that $a = xb = by$ and $xa = a$.

Proof. We only prove the sufficiency part. To see this, let $x, y \in S^1$ such that $a = xb = by$ and $xa = a$. Then $a = xa = xby = ay$. Hence, by Corollary II.1, $a^* = a^*y$ and $a^+ = xa^+$. Furthermore, we get $ya^*, a^+x \in E(S)$. Thus $a = a^+a = a^+(xb) = (a^+x)b = aa^* = (by)a^* = b(ya^*)$. This means that $a \leq b$.

Lemma III.1 Let S be an abundant semigroup. If S satisfy L^* -majorization, then for any $e \in E(S)$, eSe is L^* -unipotent.

Proof. Let $f, g \in E(eSe)$. Then $e \geq f$ and $e \geq g$. If $f L^*(eSe) g$, then $f L^*(S) g$. Since S satisfy L^* -majorization, we have $f = g$. So, eSe is L^* -unipotent.

Lemma III.2 Let S be an abundant semigroup. If S is L^* -unipotent, then S satisfy L^* -majorization.

Proof. Let $a, b, c \in S$ such that $a \geq b, a \geq c$ and bL^*c . By the dual argument of Lemma II.2, there exist $e_1 \in E(L_b^*), e_2 \in E(L_c^*)$ such that $b = ae_1, c = ae_2$. Hence, $e_1 L^* b L^* c L^* e_2$. But, S is L^* -unipotent, we have $e_1 = e_2$. So, $b = ae_1 = ae_2 = c$, that is, S satisfy L^* -majorization.

Proposition III.2 Let S be an abundant semigroup. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) for any $e \in E(S)$, eSe is L^* -unipotent;
- (2) for any $e \in E(S)$, eSe satisfy L^* -majorization;
- (3) $E(S)$ satisfy L -majorization;
- (4) $\text{Reg}(S)$ satisfy L -majorization.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) It follows immediately from Lemma III.2.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) Suppose that (2) holds. Let $e, f, g \in E(S)$ and $e \geq f, e \geq g, f L g$. Then $f, g \in E(eSe)$ and $f L^*(eSe) g$. Hence, $f = g$, and so $E(S)$ satisfy L -majorization.

(3) \Rightarrow (4) Assume that $E(S)$ satisfy L -majorization. Let $a, b, c \in \text{Reg}(S)$ and $a \geq b, a \geq c, bL(S)c$. Then there exist $e, f \in E(S)$ such that $b = ea = af$. On the other hand, since a is regular, we have $x \in V(a)$ such that $(xb)^2 = xeaxaf = xeaf = xb \in E(S)$. Again, since

$$xb = (xax)b = (xa)(xb) = xea = (xea)xa = (xb)(xa),$$

we have that $xb \leq xa$. Notice that $b = af = axaf = a(xb)$, we get that $bL(S)xb$. Similarly, we can prove that $xc \in E(S), c = a(xc), xc \leq xa$ and $cL(S)xc$. Hence, $xbL(S)xc$. By the hypothesis, $E(S)$ satisfy L -majorization, we have that $xb = xc$. Therefore, $b = a(xb) = a(xc) = c$. That is, $\text{Reg}(S)$ satisfy L -majorization.

(4) \Rightarrow (1) Assume that $\text{Reg}(S)$ satisfy L -majorization. Let $f, g \in E(eSe)$ and $f L^*(eSe) g$. Hence, $e \geq f, e \geq g$ and $f L(S) g$. By (4), we have $f = g$, and so eSe is L^* -unipotent.

Theorem III.1 Let S be an abundant semigroup satisfying the regularity condition. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) $\text{Reg}(S)$ is compatible with respect to \leq ;
- (2) S is a locally adequate semigroup;
- (3) for any $e \in E(eSe)$, eSe satisfies both L^* - and R^* -unipotent;
- (4) for any $e \in E(eSe)$, eSe satisfies both L^* - and R^* -majorization;
- (5) $E(S)$ satisfies both L - and R -majorization;
- (6) $\text{Reg}(S)$ satisfies both L - and R -majorization.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Suppose that $\text{Reg}(S)$ is compatible with respect to \leq . Then $\text{Reg}(S)$ is a locally inverse semigroup (see, [3]). Noticing that for any $e \in E(S)$, eSe is an abundant semigroup, which implies that $E(e\text{Reg}(S)e)$ is a semilattice and $\text{Reg}(eSe) = e\text{Reg}(S)e$. We observe that $E(eSe)$ is a semilattice (since $e\text{Reg}(S)e$ is a inverse semigroup). Thus, eSe is an adequate semigroup, that is, S is a locally adequate semigroup.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) This is clear.

(3) \Rightarrow (4) \Rightarrow (5) \Rightarrow (6) Follow from Proposition III.2.

(6) \Rightarrow (1) Suppose that $\text{Reg}(S)$ satisfies both L - and R -majorization. By Proposition III.2, we have eSe satisfies both L^* - and R^* -unipotent. But, $\text{Reg}(eSe) = e\text{Reg}(S)e$, we have that $\text{Reg}(eSe)$ is a regular semigroup satisfying both L^* - and R^* -unipotent. Furthermore, $\text{Reg}(eSe)$ is an inverse semigroup. Therefore, $\text{Reg}(S)$ is a locally inverse semigroup, and so $\text{Reg}(S)$ is compatible with respect to \leq (see,[3]).

4. Natural Partial Orders on IC-Abundant Semigroups

In this section, we will consider the natural partial orders on IC-abundant semigroups.

Theorem IV.1 Let S be an IC-abundant semigroup. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) S is right compatible with respect to \leq ;
- (2) for any $e, f, g \in E(S), e \geq f$ and $e \geq g \Rightarrow fg = gfg$;
- (3) for any $e \in E(S)$, eSe satisfies both L^* -majorization and the regularity condition;
- (4) for any $e \in E(S)$, eSe satisfies both L^* -unipotent and the regularity condition.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Let $e, f, g \in E(S), e \geq f$ and $e \geq g$. By (1), we have that $eg \geq fg$. Hence, $g \geq fg$. By Proposition 2.7 of [5], we have $fg \in E(S)$. Therefore, $fg = gfg$.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) Let $f, g \in E(eSe)$. Then $e \geq f$ and $e \geq g$. By (2), we have that $fg = gfg$.

Hence, $(fg)^2 = fgfg = f(fg) = fg \in E(S)$, which implies that eSe satisfies the regularity condition.

Next, we show that eSe satisfies L^* -majorization.

Let $a, b, c \in eSe$ such that $a \geq b$, $a \geq c$ and $bL(S)c$. By the duality of Lemma II.2, there exist $e_1 \in E(L_b^*) \cap eSe, e_2 \in E(L_c^*) \cap eSe$ such that $b = ae_1, c = ae_2$. Obviously, $e \geq e_1, e \geq e_2$ and $e_1L(eSe)e_2$. Hence, $e_1e_2 = e_2e_1e_2$, so that $e_1 = e_1e_2 = e_2e_1e_2 = e_2e_2 = e_2$. Thus $b = ae_1 = ae_2 = c$. Therefore, eSe satisfies L^* -majorization.

(3) \Rightarrow (4) It follows from Proposition III.2.

(4) \Rightarrow (1) Suppose that (4) holds. Then $Reg(eSe)$ is an L^* -unipotent semigroup. Hence,

$E(eSe)$ is a right regular band. Let $a, b, c \in S$ and $a \leq b$. By Lemma II.2, for $f \in E(R_b^*)$,

there exists $e \in E(R_a^*)$ such that $e \leq f$ and $a = eb$. Hence, $ac = ebc$. Since $fbcb = bc$, by Lemma II.1, we have $f(bc)^+ = (bc)^+ \in E(R_{bc}^*)$. It is easy to see that $(bc)^+ f \in E(R_{bc}^*)$. Thus $e(bc)^+ f \in E(fSf)$ since $e, (bc)^+ f \in E(fSf)$. Take $x \in V(e(bc)^+ f) \cap fSf$. We have

$$xe(bc)^+ f, (bc)^+ fxe(bc)^+ f \in E(fSf) \text{ and} \\ xe(bc)^+ fL(fSf)(bc)^+ fxe(bc)^+ f,$$

that is,

$$xe(bc)^+ fL^*(fSf)(bc)^+ fxe(bc)^+ f.$$

Since $E(fSf)$ is a right regular band, by assumption, we have

$$xe(bc)^+ f = (bc)^+ fxe(bc)^+ f.$$

Multiplying the prior formula on the right by x , we obtain that $x = (bc)^+ fx$. Hence, $x = xe(bc)^+ fx = xex$, and so, $xe, e(bc)^+ fxe \in E(fSf)$. Notice that $xeL(fSf)e(bc)^+ fxe$, by the fact that fSf is L^* -unipotent, we have that $xe = e(bc)^+ fxe$. If we multiply this equality on the right by $(bc)^+ f$, we can obtain that $xe(bc)^+ f = e(bc)^+ f$. Hence, $e(bc)^+ f = e(bc)^+ fxe(bc)^+ f = e(bc)^+ fe(bc)^+ f$. That is, $e(bc)^+ f \in E(fSf)$. Note that $(bc)^+ f, (bc)^+ fe(bc)^+ f \in E(fSf)$, $e(bc)^+ fL^*(fSf)(bc)^+ fe(bc)^+ f$, by (4), we observe $(bc)^+ fe(bc)^+ f = e(bc)^+ f$. Hence, $e(bc)^+ f \leq (bc)^+ f$. Since $ac = ebc = e(bc)^+ fbc$, by Lemma II.2, we have $ac \leq bc$. Again, since S is an IC-abundant semigroup, we get that $ac \leq bc$. The proof is completed.

Theorem IV.2 Let S be an IC-abundant semigroup. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) S is compatible with respect to \leq ;
- (2) for any $e, f, g \in E(S), e \geq f$ and $e \geq g \Rightarrow fg = gf$;
- (3) S is a locally ample semigroup;
- (4) for any $e \in E(S)$, eSe satisfies both L^* - and R^* -majorization and the regularity condition.

Proof. It follows from Theorem IV.1 and its dual.

5. Conclusions

In this paper, we investigate the natural partial orders on IC-abundant semigroups and give some properties and characterizations of natural partial orders on abundant semigroups by using the notion of majorization. We generalize and strengthen the results of Fountain on abundant semigroups.

Acknowledgements

This work is supported by the National Science Foundation (China) (No. 11261018; No. 11361024), the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangxi Province (No. 20122BAB201018), the Science Foundation of the Education Department of Jiangxi Province (No. GJJ14381; No. KJLD12067).

References

- [1] El-qallali, A. & Fountain, J. B., *Idempotent-connected abundant semigroups*. Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh, 91, pp. 79-90, 1981.
- [2] Fountain, J. B., *Abundant semigroups*, Proc. London Math. Soc., 44, pp.103-129, 1982.
- [3] Namboorpad, K. SS., *The natural partial order on a regular semigroup*, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc., 23, pp.249-260, 1980.
- [4] Petrich, M., *Completely regular semigroups*. New York, Jhon Wiley and Sons Inc., 1999.
- [5] Lawson, M. V., *The natural partial order on an abundant semigroup*, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc., 30, pp.169-186, 1987.
- [6] Li, C., Guo, X. & Liu, E., *Good congruences on perfect rectangular bands of adequate semigroups*, Advances in Mathematics, 38, pp.465-476, 2009.
- [7] Li, C. & Liu, E., *Fuzzy good congruences on Left semi-perfect abundant semigroups*, Comm. Algebra, 39, pp. 3090-3103, 2011.
- [8] Li, C., *On fuzzy congruences of a type B semigroup I*, International Mathematical Forum, 9, pp.367-375, 2014.
- [9] Li, C., Wang, L. & Fan, Z., *The structure of abundant semigroups with a multiplicative type B transversal*, Advances in Mathematics, 43, pp.232-242, 2014.

- [10] Li, C. & Wang, L., *On the translational hull of a type B semigroup*, Semigroup Forum, 82, pp. 516-529, 2011.
- [11] Li, C. & Wang, L., *A proper cover on a right type B semigroup*, Journal of South China Normal University, 44, pp.54-57,2012.
- [12] Li, C. & Shu, C., *Some notes on proper type B semigroups*, Journal of Nanchang University, 38, pp. 311-313, 2014.
- [13] Li, C., Xu, B. & Huang, H., *Fuzzy-t congruences on abundant semigroups*, Fuzzy Systems and Mathematics, 28, pp.32-36, 2014.
- [14] Li, C. & Xu, B., *Fuzzy good congruences on abundant semigroups*, Fuzzy Systems and Mathematics, 22, pp.74-78, 2008.