

Comparison Between Bromocriptine and Cabergoline Drugs as a Treatment of Hyperprolactinemia Among Sudanese Infertile Amenorrhic Women

Hanadi Elsir AL Bashir¹, Khalda Mirghani Hamza^{2, *}

¹College of Medical Laboratory Science, Department of Clinical Chemistry, Ommuderman Islamic University, Khartoum, Sudan

²College of Medical Laboratory Science, Department of Hematology, Sudan University of Science and Technology, Khartoum, Sudan

Abstract

This is a retrospective study, conducted at Reproductive Health Care Centre, Khartoum. The study aimed to compare between bromocriptine and cabergoline medications for treatment of hyperprolactinemia among infertile a menorrhic Sudanese Women. 105 women were selected for the study, 54 were treated with bromocriptine and 51 treated with cabergoline. The study continued for 22 weeks. Serum prolactin level was measured initially and after weeks 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 16, 18, 20, and 22. The efficacy of both treatments was assessed by normalization of serum prolactin level, menstrual cycle resumption, pregnancy achievement and side effects of both medications. The results showed that cabergoline is more effective compared to bromocriptine in terms of normalization of prolactin serum level, menstrual cycle resumption and pregnancy achievement. Both medications showed common side effects but to a lesser extent by cabergoline.

Keywords

Pregnancy, Prolactin, Infertility, Amenorrhea

Received: December 15, 2015 / Accepted: January 6, 2016 / Published online: February 3, 2016

© 2016 The Authors. Published by American Institute of Science. This Open Access article is under the CC BY-NC license.

<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

1. Introduction

Amenorrhea is the absence of menses if the woman has never menstruated; it is called primary amenorrhea [1]. When a woman who has at least one cycle of menstruation and a subsequent cessation for a minimum of 3-6 months, it is termed secondary amenorrhea [2]. Hyperprolactinemia is a common endocrine disorder that can be associated with significant morbidity [3]. It is characterized by the presence of a high level of prolactin in the blood more than 10-28 mg/L in women [4]. Bromocriptine is the first dopamine agonist which is introduced 35 years ago into clinical practice. Its half-life is 3 hours, action duration = 8 – 12 hours, so it must be taken twice or three times daily [5]. Bromocriptine

successfully normalized serum prolactin levels within 6 months [6]. Cabergoline is an ergoline derivative with an extremely long plasma half-life of 63 to 109 hours [7]. Cabergoline is a potent and long-acting inhibitor for prolactin secretion, which exhibits high specificity and affinity to dopamine D2 receptor [8]. Several comparative studies considered cabergoline to be superior to bromocriptine for the treatment of hyperprolactinemia and its effectiveness in many patients resistant to bromocriptine [9]. A study in Iraq revealed that 87.7% and 67.7% of hyperprolactinemic females with amenorrhea treated with cabergoline and bromocriptine respectively have achieved normal prolactin levels [10]. Meta-analysis controlled trials revealed that a significant difference in favour of cabergoline regarding normalization of serum prolactin, menstruation with return of

* Corresponding author

E-mail address: khaladahamza@yahoo.com (K. M. Hamza)

ovulatory cycle [11]. Lower prevalence of side effects were reported in subjects treated with cabergoline and pregnancy was more achieved among 82% of women treated with cabergoline compared to 56.4% treated with bromocriptine (p- value < 0.001) [12]. The same authors concluded that the presence of galactorrhea and irregular menstrual cycle were significantly lower in the group treated with cabergoline.

2. Materials and Methods

This is a retrospective study aimed to compare between bromocriptine and cabergoline as medications for treatment of hyperprolactinemic amenorrhic infertile women. The study was performed during the period 2008 to 2010. 105 infertile amenorrhic women with hyperprolactinemia attended Reproductive Health Care Centre were selected for this study. A complete history and general physical examination was performed before infertility examination. The selected group was hyperprolactinemic with amenorrhea for at least 3 months with at least one year infertility. The study group was randomly divided into two groups, group A = 54 females treated by bromocriptine, group B =51 females treated by cabergoline. Serum prolactin level was measured twice before the start of the treatment. The efficacy of the two medications was evaluated according to the achievement of normal prolactin level, menstrual cycle resumption, pregnancy achievement and side effects. Serum prolactin and progesterone were measured by Enzyme Immune-Assay (EIA).

2.1. Inclusion Criteria

Women with primary or secondary infertility, primary or secondary amenorrhea, hyperprolactinemia with or without galactorrhea (prolactin > 800 IU/l) and normal FSH, LH and TSH, normal Complete Blood Count and negative pregnancy test.

2.2. Exclusion Criteria

Infertile women with hyperprolactinemia with polycystic ovary disease, thyroid disorders, renal or hepatic diseases, male factor or un- explained infertility.

An informed consent was obtained from each participant before study started. Initial prolactin serum level was 1698.87 ± 683.7 IU and 1722.00 ± 629.8 IU of group A and B respectively (p =0.816).

2.3. Study Design

Bromocriptine started as a daily dose of 1.25 mg / day for 3 days then the dose increased to 2.5 mg / day for the next 4 days, then increased to 2.5 mg twice a day and the dose was adjusted (if necessary) until the end of the experimental

period. Women assigned to cabergoline initially received 0.25 mg on the first week and the dose increased to 0.50 mg/week and the dose was adjusted if necessary until the end of experimental period. Treatment was stopped if pregnancy was achieved or menstrual cycle was resumed.

2.4. Follow Up

Serum prolactin was measured initially (before treatment started), then at weeks 2, 4, 6, 8, 14, 16, 20, 22 and 24. Pregnancy test was performed a week before the start of the treatment. If menses was resumed, plasma progesterone was measured during the luteal phase (day 21) as indicator of ovulation. At each visit, women were asked about side effects of each medication .Blood samples were collected after 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, 20, 22 and 24 weeks. Treatment was stopped if pregnancy was confirmed by measurement of B-H CG and documented by trans-vaginal sonography at 6-7 weeks gestational age.

3. Results

Table 1. Demographic Data of the Study Group.

Characteristics of study group	Group (A) N=54	Group (B) N=51	P-value
Age (years)	31 ± 6.0	29 ± 5.6	0.13
Weight (Kg)	63 ± 7.0	62 ± 7.6	0.45
Duration of infertility (years)	3.98 ± 3.5	3.3 ± 2.3	0.26
Duration of a menorrhoea (months)	9.7 ± 8.9	7.5 ± 9.3	0.21
Prolactin serum level (mIU/L)	1698.7 ± 683.7	1722 ± 629.8	0.82
FSH (IU/L)	7.0 ± 3.43	7.2 ± 3.0	0.75
LH (IU/L)	4.0 ± 2.3	4.2 ± 2.5	0.80

Table 1 showed the demographic and clinical data of both study groups. Most of demographic data showed no significant difference between two groups. Age of test groups ranged between 29 ± 5.6 and 31 ± 6.0 years.

Table 2. Prolactin serum level of study groups throughout the study period.

Weeks	Study groups		p- value
	Group A	Group B	
Initial Prolactin serum level (mean ± SD)	1698.9 ± 685.6	1722.0 ± 676.3	0.834
Week 2	1252.8 ± 521.7	1268.1 ± 436.3	0.420
Week 4	963.3 ± 625.4	1030.9 ± 369.2	0.326
Week 6	833.2 ± 437.2	837.1 ± 349.9	0.222
Week 8	722.4 ± 487.5	712.3 ± 326.4	0.457
Week 10	627.4 ± 389.6	576.0 ± 237.5	0.049
Week 12	787.0 ± 156.1	214.3 ± 214.5	0.000
Week 14	562.2 ± 24.6	441.4 ± 150.8	0.000
Week 16	550.2 ± 431.6	425.5 ± 150.8	0.005
Week 18	451.6 ± 297.8	370.9 ± 184.3	0.021
Week 20	318.8 ± 271.0	305.2 ± 187.4	0.137
Week 22	334.0 ± 65.3	277.9 ± 184.9	0.001

Normal prolactin serum level = 400mIU/L

Bromocriptine and cabergoline successfully normalized prolactin serum level serum level which was significantly different between two medications (P – value = 0.001).

Menstrual cycle was resumed in both groups. After week 4 of treatment, 14.8% from group A resumed menstrual cycle, in the other group menstrual cycle resumption was recorded by 45.1% (table 3). Menstrual cycle was resumed by 83.6% and 98.1% of participants after weeks 22 and 20 of treatment with bromocriptine and cabergoline respectively.

Table 3. Menstrual Cycle Resumption Period of study Group after treatment with bromocriptine or cabergoline.

Bromocriptine			Cabergoline		
Group A	Resumption period (weeks)	Group B	Resumption period (weeks)	Group A	Group B
Total = 54		Total = 51			
N = 5	9.3%	2.0	N = 23	45.1%	4.0
N = 8	14.8%	4.0	N = 13	25.5%	12.0
N = 3	5.6 %	6.0	N = 8	15.7%	16.0
N = 4	7.4 %	8.0	N = 5	9.8%	18.0
N = 1	1.9 %	12.0	N = 1	2.0%	20.0
N = 3	5.6 %	14.0	Total=50	98.1%	-
N = 1	1.9 %	16.0	N* = 1*	2.0%	-
N=19	35.2%	20.0	-	-	-
N = 1	1.9 %	22.0	-	-	-
Total=45	83.6 %	-	-	-	-
N* = 9*	16.6%	-	-	-	-

N*: Number of participants discontinued the treatment.

Table 4. Frequency of Pregnant Women after treatment with bromocriptine or cabergoline.

Medication	Pregnant Women		Non pregnant Women	
	NO	%	NO	%
Bromocriptine N=45	18	40	27	60
Cabergoline N=50	34	68	16	32

Pregnancy was achieved in 40% of women after treatment with bromocriptine and in 68% of the group under cabergoline treatment

Table 5. Common side effects of bromocriptine and cabergoline medications.

Side effect	Bromocriptine		Cabergoline	
	(group A) N= 54	(group B) N =51	(group A) N= 54	(group B) N =51
Nausea	N = 38	70.4 %	N = 36	70.6 %
Vomiting	N = 24	44.7 %	N = 14	27.4 %
Constipation	N = 49	90.7 %	N = 39	76.5 %
Headache	N = 45	83.3 %	N = 42	82.4 %
Dizziness	N = 22	70.4 %	N = 12	23.5 %
Abdominal pain	N = 30	55.6 %	N = 16	31.4 %
Fatigue	N = 49	90.7 %	N = 39	76.5 %
Breast pain	N = 39	66.7 %	N = 25	49 %
Hot flash	N = 31	57.4 %	N = 24	47.1 %

Both medications showed common side effects but to a lesser extent by cabergoline (table 5). Constipation and fatigue were reported by both test groups. Vomiting and dizziness were more frequent among women treated by bromocriptine.

4. Discussion

The results of the present study showed that both bromocriptine and cabergoline normalized serum prolactin level of infertile amenorrhic women with hyperprolactinemia. Similar findings were reported by many authors [6, 13, 14, 15]. Bromocriptine has the longest history of use for the treatment of hyperprolactinemia, and is well established as a safe and effective therapy and successfully normalized serum PRL level in 27 patients over a period of 6 months and reduced tumor size by more than half in 26% of patients [6].

According to the present study cabergoline significantly decreased prolactin serum level compared to bromocriptine (p= 0.001) indicating that it is more efficient than bromocriptine (table 2). Cabergoline normalized PRL level in 75-90 % of patients with micro and macroprolactinoma with an average decrease in tumor volume of 72-92% [14]. These findings were further confirmed by a previous study [16] which revealed that cabergoline significantly decreased serum PRL level compared to bromocriptine (p < 0.05). Moreover a study in Saudi Arabia revealed that prolactin level reduction was more prominent in cabergoline group in hyperprolactinemic patients (23 females and 13 males) compared to the group under bromocriptine after treatment of 3 months [17], the same authors observed that rate of normalization of serum prolactin was higher in cabergoline group (63%) compared to (41%) of patients under bromocriptine treatment and adverse effect were less frequent in cabergoline group (13.6%) compared to (40%) in bromocriptine group. Furthermore, a study in Turkey showed that cabergoline was more effective than bromocriptine in controlling symptoms with hormone excess, normalizing serum prolactin [18]

In the present study, menstrual cycle resumption was faster and with higher frequency of the group treated with cabergoline, similar findings showed that menstrual cycle was resumed by 96.1% in cabergoline group compared to 83.3% in the other test group [19]. In addition, serum prolactin levels and mensuration with return of ovulatory cycle showed a significant difference in favour of cabergoline [11]. Glactorrhea and irregular menstruation were significantly lower in patients treated with cabergoline than patients treated by bromocriptine (p < 0.001 and p < 0.011) respectively [12]. Furthermore, bromocriptine or cabergoline normalized prolactin levels, reduce tumor size, and restore ovulation and fertility. Cabergoline is preferred over bromocriptine due to its higher efficacy and tolerability [15]. Another study revealed that cabergoline was more effective than bromocriptine in reducing persistent hyperprolactinemia, amenorrhea/oligomenorrhea and glactorrhea [3].

Pregnancy was achieved in 22 females and 33 females treated with bromocriptine and cabergoline respectively (table 3). In a previous study [10] a menorrhoea persisted in 9 women treated with cabergoline compared to 20 treated with bromocriptine. These findings were confirmed by previous investigators [18, 20], they concluded that significant and better outcome was observed in women who received cabergoline treatment and pregnancy was achieved in a majority of women receiving cabergoline compared to those received bromocriptine.

A study in India, revealed that cabergoline treatment of infertile women with prolactinoma is more effective, it lowers prolactin with better tolerability and much more effective in the achievement of pregnancy in 82% among women treated with cambergoline compared to 56.4% treated with bromocriptine [12].

Common side effects were reported by both test groups, but often higher frequency was reported by the group treated with bromocriptine. Similar findings were previously reported by some authors [10, 12, 17, 19] who concluded that cabergoline induces significant less frequent and short-lived side effects and more effective and better tolerated than bromocriptine in the treatment of patients with hyperprolactinemia. Adverse effect were less frequent in the group treated with cabergoline (13.6%) compared to (40%) in the group treated with bromocriptine [17]. In addition, cabergoline effectively treats hyperprolactinemia, micro and macroprolactinomas with a low incidence of side effects [21]. A retrospective study in Turkey showed that symptoms relief was higher in the cabergoline group than in bromocriptine group, more side effects were recorded by individuals treated with bromocriptine (29.1% and 5.3%) respectively ($p < 0.001$) [18].

Moreover, cabergoline offers considerable advantage over bromocriptine in terms of efficacy and tolerability and adverse effect was noted in 53% of bromocriptine group and 12% in cabergoline group [16].

One of the advantages of treatment by cabergoline, is the easy regimen, since it is taken weekly with a low dose, but bromocriptine should be taken daily with a high dose. These results confirmed that cabergoline is more effective than bromocriptine as reported by previous studies [9, 11] which showed that cabergoline is the most effective drug for treatment of prolactinomas with very good patient compliance with long-term treatment regimen.

5. Conclusion

Bromocriptine and cabergoline normalized prolactin level, and they are useful as a treatment of infertile a menorrhic women

with hyperprolactinemia. Cabergoline is superior and efficient treatment compared to bromocriptine, in terms of low dose regimen to normalize prolactin serum level, menstrual cycle resumption, pregnancy achievement with less frequent side effects.

References

- [1] Warren MP, Biller BW, Shangold M M. A new clinical option for hormone replacement therapy in women with secondary a menorrhoea: effects of cyclic administration of progesterone from the sustained – release vaginal gel Crinone (4% and 8%) on endometrial morphological features and withdrawal bleeding. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 1999; 180: 42-8.
- [2] Santtoro N, Filicori M, Crowley WF Jr. Hypoadotropic disorders in men and women: diagnosis and therapy with pulsatile gonadotropin-releasing hormone. *Endocr Rev* 1986; 7: 11-23.
- [3] Wang A M, Mullan Rebecca J, Lane M A *et al.*, Treatment of hyperprolactinemia: a Systemic review. *BioMed Central. Systematic Reviews* 2012;3 2012.
- [4] Serri O, Chik CL, Ezzat S. Diagnosis and management of hyperprolactinemia *CMAJ* 2003; 575-81.
- [5] Kvernmo T, Hartter S, Burger E. A review of the receptor – binding and pharmacokinetic properties of dopamine agonists. *Clinical Therapy* 2006; 28:1065-1078.
- [6] Colao A, Di Sarno A, Cappabianca P, Di Somma C, Pivonello R, Lombardi G. Dopamine receptor agonists for treating prolactinomas. *Expert Opin Investing Drugs* 2002; 11: 787-800.
- [7] del Dotto and Bonuccelli U. Clinical pharmacokinetics of Cabergoline. *Clin Pharmacol* 2003; 42: 633-645.(7)
- [8] Emre B, Bakiner O, Bozkirli E D *et al.*, Successful Management of a Giant Pituitary Lactosomatroph Adenoma Only with Cabergoline. *Case Reports in Endocrinology* 2013; 2013. ID 134241, 4 pages.
- [9] Di Sarno A, Landi ML, Cappabianca P, Di Salle F, Rossi FW, Pivonello R, Di Somma C, Faggiano A, Lombardi G, Cola A. Resistance to Cabergoline as compared to Bromocriptine in hyperprolactinemia: prevalence, clinical definition and therapeutic strategy. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2001; 86: 5256-5261.
- [10] AL-Husaynei AJ, Mohammed IH, AL-Jubori, M, Zena S. Comparison of the effect of Cabergoline and Bromocriptine in women with hyperprolactinemic amenorrhoea. *Middle East Society Journal* 2008; 13: 133-138.
- [11] de Santos N V, El Dib R, Boquszewski CL *et al.*, Cabergoline versus Bromocriptine in the treatment of hyperprolactinemia : a systemic review of randomized controlled trials and meta-analysis. *Pituitary* 2011; 14 (3): 259-65.
- [12] Motazedian S, Babakhani L, Fereshtehnejad SM and Mojtahedi K. A comparison of Bromocriptine and Cabergoline on fertility outcome of hyperprolactinemic infertile women undergoing intrauterine insemination. *Indian Journal Med Res* 2010; 131: 670-674.
- [13] Essais O, Bouguerra R, Hamzaoui J. Efficacy and safety of bromocriptine in the treatment of macroprolactinomas. *Ann Endocrinol (Paris)* 2002; 63: 524-531.

- [14] Kars M, Dekkers OM, Pereira AM, Romijn JA. Update in prolactinemia. *Neth J Med* 2010; 68: 104-112.
- [15] Almalki M H, Alzahrani S, Alshahrani F *et al.*, Managing Prolactinomas during Pregnancy. *Frontiers in Endocrinology* 2015; 6 (85).
- [16] Sabuncu T, Arikan E, Hatem. Comparison of the effects of Cabergoline and bromocriptine on prolactin levels in hyperprolactinemic patients. *Inter. Med. (PubMed)* 2001; 40 (9): 857-61.
- [17] Qari F A, FRCP, ABIN. Manifestation of hyperprolactinemia and its management by Bromocriptine and Cabergoline. *JKAU: Med. Sc.* 2008; 15(1): 51-62.
- [18] Arduc A, Gokay F, Isiks *et al.*, Retrospective comparison between cabergoline and bromocriptine effects in hyperprolactinemia: a single centre experience. *Journal of Endocrinological Investigation* 2015; 38 (4): 447-53.
- [19] Berinder K, Stackenas I, Akre O, Hirschberg AL, and Hulting AL. Hyperprolactinemia in 271 women: up to three decades of clinical follow – up. *Clinical Endocrinol (Oxf)* 2005; 63: 450-455.
- [20] Cola A, Abs R, Barcena DG *et al.*, Pregnancy outcomes following cabergoline treatment: extended results from a 12 – year observational study. *Clin Endocrinol (Oxf)* 2008_a; 68: 66-71.
- [21] Crosignani PG. Management of hyperprolactinemic infertility (Review). *Middle East Fertility Journal* 2012, 17 (2): 63-69.